ARTICLE IN PRESS

The Journal of Foot and Ankle Surgery xxx (xxxx) xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

The Journal of Foot and Ankle Surgery

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/yjfas

Prospective multicenter study assessing radiographic and patient outcomes following an instrumented mini-open triplanar tarsometatarsal arthrodesis with early weightbearing

Jody Peter McAleer, DPM, FACFAS [a,*], Amber M. Shane, DPM, FACFAS [b,*], Marque A. Allen, DPM, FACFAS [c], Avneesh Chhabra, MD [d], Justin Daigre, MD [e], Daniel C. Farber, MD [f], Deidre Kile, MS [g], Jeffrey E. McAlister, DPM, FACFAS [h], Abdi Raissi, MD [i], Paul Steinke, DPM, FACFAS [j], Robert P. Taylor, DPM, FACFAS [k], Robert D. Santrock, MD [l]

[a] Jefferson City Medical Group, Department of Podiatry, Jefferson City, MO, 65109, USA
[b] Upperline Health, Orlando, FL, USA
[c] Sports Medicine Associates of San Antonio, San Antonio, TX, USA
[d] UT Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA
[e] DOC Orthopaedics and Sports Medicine, Decatur, AL, USA
[f] University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA, USA
[g] Actalent, Hanover, MD, USA
[h] Phoenix Foot and Ankle Institute, Scottsdale, AZ, USA
[i] Desert Orthopaedic Center, Las Vegas, NV, USA
[j] Foot and Ankle of North Texas, Keller, TX, USA
[k] Stonebriar Foot and Ankle, Frisco, TX, USA
[l] Duke Orthopedics, Durham, NC, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Level of evidence:
Level 4 Case Series

Keywords:
Hallux valgus
Mini-open
MIS
Tarsometatarsal arthrodesis
Triplanar

ABSTRACT

This prospective, multicenter study assessed the radiographic, clinical, and patient-reported outcomes for hallux valgus (HV) correction performed with an instrumented 1st tarsometatarsal (TMT) system through a mini-open incision (≤4cm) with a biplanar plating construct and early return to weightbearing. One hundred and five patients were treated, with 75 and 11 patients completing their 12- and 24-month visits, respectively. The median (min, max) length of the primary dorsal incision was 3.5 cm (3.0, 4.0). Patients underwent an early weightbearing protocol with mean (95 % CI) of 7.9 (6.7, 9.1) days to weightbearing in a CAM boot. Significant improvements from baseline in mean radiographic measurements for Hallux Valgus Angle (HVA), Intermetatarsal Angle (IMA), Tibial Sesamoid Position (TSP), and osseous foot width (OFW) were maintained through 12 months. Using recurrence definitions of greater than 15° and 20° postoperative HVA, recurrence rates were 5.5 % (95 % CI: 1.5 %, 13.4 %) and 0.0 % at 12 months and 0.0 % for both thresholds at 24 months, respectively. Significant improvements in patient-reported outcomes [Visual Analog Scale (VAS), Manchester-Oxford Foot Questionnaire (MOxFQ) and Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS)] were maintained through 12 and 24 months. A clinically meaningful assessment of the scar appearance was observed in the POSAS scores. One (1.0 %) patient in the overall treated cohort of 105 required reoperation for removal of hardware due to pain. The results of this prospective, multicenter study on a mini-open 1st TMT system demonstrated improvements in radiographic correction, low recurrence, early return to activity with low complication rates, and improvements in patient-reported outcomes.

* Corresponding authors.
E-mail addresses: jmcaleer@jcmg.org (J.P. McAleer), ambershanereeves@yahoo.com (A.M. Shane), allenmarque@gmail.com (M.A. Allen), avneesh28@gmail.com (A. Chhabra), drdaigre@gmail.com (J. Daigre), dcfarber@gmail.com (D.C. Farber), dkile@actalentservices.com (D. Kile), jeff.mcalister@phoenixfai.com (J.E. McAlister), abdi.raissi@gmail.com (A. Raissi), steinke@faant.com (P. Steinke), bobbyerl@msn.com (R.P. Taylor), robert.santrock@dovetailortho.com (R.D. Santrock).

https://doi.org/10.1053/j.jfas.2025.03.018

Available online 26 March 2025

Please cite this article as: Jody Peter McAleer et al., *The Journal of Foot and Ankle Surgery*, https://doi.org/10.1053/j.jfas.2025.03.018

Introduction

Hallux valgus (HV) is a common orthopedic problem of the foot, with a prevalence in adults of approximately 25 % [1]. HV is recognized as a complex three-dimensional (3D) deformity with significant contributions in the transverse, sagittal, and frontal planes [2–6]. Yet, surgical correction of HV has traditionally focused on the transverse and sagittal planes, with metatarsal osteotomies being the most common surgical approach. These traditional metatarsal osteotomy approaches have been associated with radiographic recurrence up to 30 % to 78 %, with a recent systematic review of long-term outcomes of distal osteotomies demonstrating recurrence of 10 % and 64 % using post-operative hallux valgus angle (HVA) of 20° and 15°, respectively [7–10]. An instrumented system for achieving triplanar HV correction through first tarsometatarsal (TMT) arthrodesis was recently developed and has demonstrated positive early clinical and radiographic results with low recurrence rates [11,12].

Recently, there has been a trend towards minimally invasive surgical (MIS) approaches for HV correction using distal first metatarsal osteotomies. These approaches have established advantages including reduced soft tissue disruption, decreased postoperative pain, preservation of blood-supply reduced risk for wound complications and improved cosmesis [13–15]. Some of these MIS distal osteotomy techniques include 3D correction of the frontal-plane rotational deformity and have demonstrated positive outcomes [16,17]. Building on this trend, an instrumented system was recently developed for performing a mini-open triplanar 1st TMT arthrodesis. This technique allowed the authors to execute their preferred correction method closest to the deformity apex while simultaneously offering the advantages of a less invasive procedure. The purpose this study is to assess the clinical, radiographic, and patient-reported outcomes for HV correction performed with this system through a mini-open approach (≤4cm) with biplanar fixation to allow early return to weightbearing.

Patients & methods

This is a prospective, multicenter study involving 9 US-based centers and 9 surgeons. Institutional review board approval was obtained for each study site. A consecutive cohort of patients were enrolled in the study who received first TMT arthrodesis through a mini-open approach to correct their symptomatic HV. Inclusion criteria were as follows: symptomatic HV in patients between 14 to 58 years of age, IMA between 10.0-22.0°, and HVA between 16.0-40.0°. Exclusion criteria included the following: a prior history of HV surgery, previous surgeries on the operative foot involving joint fusion (other than lesser toes/digits), additional arthrodesis or concomitant procedures outside the first ray (other than intercuneiform stabilization), BMI >40 kg/m^2, diabetes with HbA1c ≥7, evidence of peripheral neuropathy (failure of four-point monofilament test), symptomatic or asymptomatic flatfoot (calcaneal inclination <5°; talonavicular subluxation >50 %; surgeon identified characteristics of pain), metatarsus adductus of ≥23°, moderate to severe osteoarthritis of the first metatarsophalangeal (MTP) joint complex, and current use of any nicotine products including patches. The authors believed that the study cohort would validate our hypothesis that first TMT arthrodesis performed using a mini-open technique is a safe and effective treatment for isolated hallux valgus deformity, resulting in excellent bone fixation and early weight bearing without introducing any confounding health or age-related factors.



Fig. 1. - The procedure is performed through a mini-open dorsal incision (≤4cm) dorsal incision.

The surgical technique utilized was similar to a previously published study [18], with modifications to allow the procedure to be performed through a mini-open dorsal incision (≤4cm) [Fig. 1]. The initial and final incision length was measured intraoperatively. The surgical technique utilized an over the skin bone positioner device to correct the 1st metatarsal in all three planes, a miniaturized cut guide to produce the first TMT joint cuts, and a compressor device for TMT joint apposition. A titanium biplanar locking plate construct consisting of a four-hole dorsal-lateral straight plate and a medial u-shaped plate was used to fixate the first TMT joint. The surgeon had the option of supplementing the biplanar plating with additional interfragmentary screws across the TMT joint and/or intercuneiform joint to address intercuneiform instability. All patients were instructed to begin weightbearing as tolerated in a controlled ankle motion (CAM) boot within 3 weeks of the index procedure. Patients were transitioned from the CAM boot to an athletic shoe at six weeks postoperatively and allowed to return to full activity at four months postoperatively. Representative preoperative and postoperative radiographs are shown in Fig. 2**a-b**.

Radiographic imaging was obtained preoperatively, and at 6 weeks, 4-, 6-, 12-, and 24-months postoperatively. Imaging included weightbearing anterior posterior (AP), lateral, and sesamoid axial radiographs. An independent fellowship-trained musculoskeletal radiologist (blinded) reviewed the radiographic images and performed all measurements using a picture archiving and communication system (AG Mednet Judi/Imaging, version 7.10). The deidentified radiographs were uploaded into a Part 11 compliant database and recorded data was entered

Fig. 2. a-b - Representative preoperative (a) and 24-month postoperative (b) radiographs.

Table 1
Demographics and baseline characteristics.

Characteristic	Category	N=105
Age(yrs), Mean (SD)		41.0 (12.4)
Sex, n (%)	Male	7 (6.7 %)
	Female	98 (93.3 %)
Race, n (%)	Black or African American	10 (9.5 %)
	White	80 (76.2 %)
	Other[a]	15 (14.3 %)
Ethnicity, n (%)	Hispanic or Latino	22 (21.0 %)
	Not Hispanic or Latino	83 (79.0 %)
BMI category, n (%)	Underweight	3 (2.9 %)
	Normal Weight	53 (50.5 %)
	Overweight	31 (29.5 %)
	Obese	18 (17.1 %)
Index Foot, n (%)	Left	54 (51.4 %)
	Right	51 (48.6 %)

[a] Other categories are: American Indian or Alaska Native (n=1), Asian (n=9), Two or more races (n=1), Unknown (n=1).

directly into a validated electronic data capture system. The radiographic measures reported in this study were IMA, HVA, TSP, OFW, and sagittal-plane IMA (defined as the angle between the longitudinal dorsal cortex of the first and second metatarsals on lateral radiographs, with first metatarsal dorsiflexion defined as a positive value) [19]. Given that there is not a standard definition of HV recurrence, and the literature commonly utilizes greater than 15° and 20°of postoperative HVA, we selected to report utilizing both thresholds for comparison [5]. Protocol-defined nonunion was defined as clinical pain at the TMT plus one or more of the following radiographic findings: lucency, hardware failure, or recurrence.

Patient-reported outcomes (VAS, MOxFQ, and PROMIS-29) for the operative foot were investigator administered and measured at the time of the visit. Visual analog scale was reported based on pain associated with the base of the big toe (bunion-related) preoperatively and at 6 weeks, 6-, 12-, and 24-months postoperatively. Quality of life via MOxFQ and PROMIS-29 was collected preoperatively and at 6-, 12-, and 24-months postoperatively. An assessment of the primary incision was assessed by the patient and observer (surgeon) utilizing the Patient and

Table 2
Radiographic measurements.

	HVA (°) Mean (95 % CI)	**IMA (°)** Mean (95 % CI)	**TSP** Mean (95 % CI)	**Sagittal Plane (°)**[a] Mean (95 % CI)	**Osseous Foot Width (mm)** Mean (95 % CI)
Baseline, N=105	26.6 (25.3, 27.8)	14.1 (13.5, 14.6)	5.0 (4.8, 5.3)	0.3 (-0.1, 0.8)	91.0 (89.1, 93.0)
Week 6, N=104	6.4 (5.2, 7.6)	3.7 (3.2, 4.3)	1.7 (1.5, 1.9)	1.8 (1.2, 2.3)	[not measured]
Change from BL	-20.3 (-21.7, -18.8)	-10.4 (-11.0, -9.8)	-3.3 (-3.6, -3.1)	1.4 (0.8, 2.0)	
p-value[b]	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	
Month 6, N=98	6.5 (5.1, 7.8)	4.7 (4.0, 5.3)	2.3 (2.1, 2.6)	1.3 (0.8, 1.9)	83.7 (81.7, 85.6)
Change from BL	-20.2 (-21.7, -18.8)	-9.5 (-10.1, -8.8)	-2.7 (-3.0, -2.5)	1.0 (0.4, 1.5)	-7.4 (-8.0, -6.8)
p-value[b]	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0007	<0.0001
Month 12, N=75	7.1 (5.6, 8.6)	4.8 (4.1, 5.6)	2.7 (2.4, 3.0)	1.4 (0.8, 2.0)	83.3 (81.1, 85.5)
Change from BL	-19.3 (-20.9, -17.6)	-9.4 (-10.1, -8.8)	-2.4 (-2.7, -2.1)	0.9 (0.3, 1.6)	-7.0 (-7.8, -6.2)
p-value[b]	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0075	<0.0001
Month 24, N=11	5.6 (3.3, 7.8)	3.0 (1.6, 4.3)	1.9 (1.4, 2.5)	1.1 (-1.3, 3.5)	79.3 (75.6, 83.1)
Change from BL	-18.6 (-23.0, -14.3)	-10.0 (-11.4, -8.5)	-2.8 (-3.5, -2.1)	1.4 (-0.9, 3.7)	-8.6 (-12.4, -4.7)

HVA=Hallux Valgus Angle; IMA=Intermetatarsal Angle; TSP=Tibial Sesamoid Position; BL=Baseline; CI=Confidence Interval
[a] Dorsiflexion is positive value
[b] p-value is computed using a T-test for the difference between post-baseline vs. baseline values

Fig. 3. - Representative preoperative (left) and 24month postoperative (right) incision/scar assessments.

Table 3
Foot circumference measurements.

	Mid-Foot Circumference (cm) Mean (95 % CI) [N]	**Forefoot Circumference (cm)** Mean (95 % CI) [N]	**Calf Circumference (cm)** Mean (95 % CI) [N]
Baseline	20.2 (19.6, 20.8) [104]	20.7 (20.1, 21.3) [104]	33.4 (32.5, 34.3) [105]
Week 6	20.9 (20.3, 21.5) [104]	20.8 (20.2, 21.5) [104]	31.5 (30.6, 32.3) [104]
Change from BL	0.7 (0.4, 1.0) [103]	0.1 (-0.1, 0.4) [103]	-1.9 (-2.5, -1.3) [104]
p-value[a]	<0.0001	0.2858	<0.0001
Month 6	20.5 (19.9, 21.1) [98]	20.2 (19.5, 20.8) [98]	32.4 (31.5, 33.3) [98]
Change from BL	0.4 (0.1, 0.6) [97]	-0.4 (-0.8, -0.0) [97]	-0.8 (-1.5, -0.1) [98]
p-value[a]	0.0121	0.0304	0.0221
Month 12	20.2 (19.6, 20.9) [75]	19.8 (19.1, 20.5) [75]	32.9 (32.0, 33.8) [75]
Change from BL	0.0 (-0.3, 0.4) [74]	-0.8 (-1.1, -0.4) [74]	-0.3 (-0.9, 0.4) [75]
p-value[a]	0.8199	<0.0001	0.4107

BL=Baseline; CI=Confidence Interval; cm=centimeter
[a] p-value is computed using a T-test for the difference between post-baseline vs. baseline values

Observer Assessment Scale (POSAS: Patient and Observer Scale, Dutch Burns Foundation, Beverwijk, The Netherlands). Note that the POSAS patient and observer scales are separate questionnaires with different scales.

The forefoot (level of 1st metatarsal head), midfoot (level of 1st TMT joint), and midcalf (level of myotendinous junction of gastroc) circumference were measured utilizing a flexible tape measure preoperatively and at 6 weeks, 6-, and 12-months postoperatively. Additional endpoints included clinical complications related to the surgical procedure and/or implants, concomitant procedures, and metatarsalgia reported by the patients.

All statistical analyses were performed using SAS software, version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). Continuous variables were summarized using means, standard deviations (SD), medians, quartiles, and 95 % confidence intervals (CIs) whereas categorical variables were summarized using frequencies and percentages. Inferential statistics were performed using a paired t-test to assess mean changes from baseline. Significance was determined at the 0.05 level. Confidence intervals for proportions were derived using the Clopper-Pearson method.

Table 4
VAS pain score.

	VAS Score Mean (95 % CI)
Baseline, N=105	3.5 (3.1, 3.9)
Week 6, N=104	1.6 (1.4, 1.9)
Change from BL	-1.8 (-2.3, -1.4)
p-value[a]	<0.0001
Month 6, N=98	1.2 (0.9, 1.5)
Change from BL	-2.3 (-2.7, -1.8)
p-value[a]	<0.0001
Month 12, N=74	0.9 (0.7, 1.2)
Change from BL	-2.6 (-3.2, -2.1)
p-value[a]	<0.0001
Month 24, N=11	1.0 (0.0, 2.0)
Change from BL	-1.9 (-3.2, -0.6)

VAS=Visual Analog Scale; BL=Baseline; CI=Confidence Interval
[a] p-value is computed using a T-test for the difference between post-baseline vs. baseline values

Results

One hundred and five patients were treated, of whom 75 (71.4 %) had achieved their 12-month follow-up and 11 (10.5 %) patients completed their 24-month follow-up. Demographic information is summarized in Table 1. Patients underwent an early return to weightbearing with mean (95 % CI) 7.9 (6.7, 9.1) days to weightbearing in a CAM boot, 6.1 (5.9, 6.4) weeks to an athletic shoe, and 3.8 (3.5, 4.2) months to full unrestricted activity.

Eighty-four patients (80.0 %) had at least one concomitant procedure. The most common adjunctive procedures were medial eminence or medial capsular ridge resection (59.0 %), intercuneiform stabilization with a screw (48.6 %), and Akin osteotomy (22.9 %).

Significant improvements from baseline in mean radiographic measurements for HVA, IMA, and TSP were observed at six weeks and maintained through the 12-month visit whereas clinical improvements were maintained at the 24-month visit [Table 2]. There was a small mean (95 % CI) increase in sagittal plane intermetatarsal angle (dorsiflexion) of 0.9° (0.3°, 1.6°) at 12 months. Regarding patients achieving correction (defined as 2 of 3 criteria being met at 6 weeks: IMA <9.0°, HVA <15.0°, and TSP ≤3), 94.2 % (98/104) achieved 6-week correction. Using recurrence definitions of postoperative HVA greater than 15° and 20°, recurrence rates were 5.5 % (95 % CI: 1.5 %, 13.4 %) and 0.0 % at 12 months and 0.0 % for both thresholds at 24 months, respectively [Fig. 3]. Clinically and statistically significant 12-month reductions in osseous foot width were observed with a mean (95 % CI) reduction of 7.0 mm (6.2, 7.8), with clinically significant 24-month reductions of 8.6 mm (4.7, 12.4) [Table 2].

There was a significant decrease from baseline in forefoot circumference at 12 months [Table 3]. Midfoot circumference increased with swelling at 6 week and 6 month follow-up but returned to the baseline circumference at 12 months. Likewise, the calf circumference decreased at 6 week and 6-month follow-up but returned to baseline at the 12-month follow-up.

Significant improvements in patient-reported outcomes were also observed, with an improvement in VAS over baseline beginning at the 6-week visit and continuing through 12 months [Table 4]. Similar

Table 5
PROMIS.

				Change from Baseline	
PROMIS Domain	Visit	N	Mean (95 % CI)	Mean (95 % CI)	p-value
Ability to Participate in Social Roles/Activities	Baseline	99	54.1 (52.3, 56.0)		
	6 Month Follow-up	92	59.3 (57.9, 60.7)	4.7 (3.0, 6.4)	<0.0001
	12 Month Follow-up	70	61.5 (60.3, 62.7)	7.2 (5.0, 9.3)	<0.0001
	24 Month Follow-up	10	61.5 (58.3, 64.7)	7.1 (2.8, 11.3)	
Anxiety	Baseline	99	48.3 (46.3, 50.2)		
	6 Month Follow-up	92	44.2 (42.7, 45.8)	-3.4 (-5.2, -1.6)	0.0004
	12 Month Follow-up	70	43.7 (42.2, 45.1)	-4.7 (-6.7, -2.6)	<0.0001
	24 Month Follow-up	10	44.3 (39.6, 48.9)	-7.8 (-15.6, -0.1)	
Depression	Baseline	99	44.9 (43.3, 46.4)		
	6 Month Follow-up	92	43.3 (42.1, 44.5)	-1.3 (-2.5, -0.1)	0.0345
	12 Month Follow-up	70	43.8 (42.3, 45.3)	-1.5 (-2.9, -0.2)	0.0271
	24 Month Follow-up	10	42.9 (40.0, 45.8)	-4.8 (-11.8, 2.2)	
Fatigue	Baseline	99	45.8 (43.7, 47.8)		
	6 Month Follow-up	92	41.9 (39.9, 43.8)	-3.5 (-5.4, -1.6)	0.0005
	12 Month Follow-up	70	41.2 (39.2, 43.2)	-4.6 (-6.7, -2.5)	<0.0001
	24 Month Follow-up	10	38.9 (34.0, 43.7)	-5.8 (-13.0, 1.4)	
Pain Intensity	Baseline	99	3.9 (3.5, 4.3)		
	6 Month Follow-up	92	1.3 (1.0, 1.7)	-2.5 (-3.0, -2.0)	<0.0001
	12 Month Follow-up	70	0.9 (0.6, 1.2)	-3.1 (-3.7, -2.5)	<0.0001
	24 Month Follow-up	10	1.1 (-0.4, 2.6)	-2.9 (-4.3, -1.5)	
Pain Interference	Baseline	99	54.1 (52.4, 55.8)		
	6 Month Follow-up	92	45.6 (44.2, 47.0)	-8.2 (-10.0, -6.4)	<0.0001
	12 Month Follow-up	70	43.6 (42.6, 44.7)	-10.4 (-12.4, -8.3)	<0.0001
	24 Month Follow-up	10	44.6 (41.0, 48.2)	-9.3 (-13.1, -5.5)	
Physical Function	Baseline	99	45.9 (44.2, 47.5)		
	6 Month Follow-up	92	52.9 (51.6, 54.3)	6.8 (4.9, 8.7)	<0.0001
	12 Month Follow-up	70	55.0 (53.9, 56.0)	9.5 (7.5, 11.4)	<0.0001
	24 Month Follow-up	10	55.4 (51.6, 59.1)	8.5 (3.4, 13.6)	
Sleep Disturbance	Baseline	99	49.1 (47.6, 50.6)		
	6 Month Follow-up	92	44.9 (43.1, 46.8)	-4.0 (-5.6, -2.3)	<0.0001
	12 Month Follow-up	70	44.3 (42.4, 46.2)	-4.8 (-6.5, -3.1)	<0.0001
	24 Month Follow-up	10	44.4 (38.2, 50.6)	-4.2 (-12.4, 4.1)	

PROMIS–29 Profile v2.1 was administered.
PROMIS: Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System
P-value is computed using a T-test for the difference between post-baseline vs. baseline values.
A positive change from baseline in Physical Function and Ability to Participate in Social Roles/Activities indicates improvement. A negative change from baseline in Anxiety, Depression, Fatigue, Pain Interference, Sleep Disturbance, and Pain Intensity indicates improvement.

Table 6
MOxFQ.

	Social Interaction Mean (95 % CI)	Walking/Standing Mean (95 % CI)	Pain Mean (95 % CI)	Index Score Mean (95 % CI)
Baseline, N=105	42.7 (38.7, 46.8)	41.2 (36.7, 45.8)	50.2 (46.6, 53.9)	44.4 (40.8, 48.1)
Month 6, N=98	14.1 (10.5, 17.7)	17.6 (13.6, 21.7)	22.8 (19.0, 26.6)	18.4 (14.7, 22.0)
Change from BL	-27.4 (-32.0, -22.8)	-22.3 (-27.7, -16.9)	-26.8 (-31.9, -21.7)	-25.0 (-29.5, -20.4)
p-value[a]	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
Month 12, N=75	9.0 (5.9, 12.1)	8.8 (5.4, 12.1)	14.5 (10.9, 18.0)	10.6 (7.6, 13.6)
Change from BL	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
p-value[a]	-33.8 (-38.6, -28.9)	-32.0 (-37.9, -26.0)	-36.7 (-42.4, -31.1)	-33.9 (-38.8, -29.0)
Month 24, N=11	6.8 (-7.0, 20.7)	7.8 (-1.6, 17.1)	10.5 (-2.6, 23.5)	8.4 (-2.9, 19.6)
Change from BL	-28.4 (-42.9, -13.9)	-26.6 (-39.7, -13.5)	-38.2 (-51.4, -25.0)	-30.7 (-41.6, -19.7)

MOxFQ=Manchester-Oxford Foot Questionnaire; CI=Confidence Interval
[a] p-value is computed using a T-test for the difference between post-baseline vs. baseline values

Table 7
POSAS.

Visit	Observer Mean (95 % CI)	Patient Mean (95 % CI)
Month 4, N=98	14.6 (13.4, 15.9)	22.7 (20.4, 24.9)
Month 6, N=98	12.1 (11.2, 13.1)	18.2 (16.0, 20.4)
Month 12, N=75	10.8 (9.8, 11.8)	13.4 (11.6, 15.2)
Month 24, N=11	7.5 (6.2, 8.7)	8.8 (5.3, 12.4)

POSAS=The Patient and Observer Scar Assessment Scale; CI=Confidence Interval
Each scale contains 6 components, each component is scored from 1 (lowest score indicating normal skin) to 10 (highest score indicating largest difference from normal skin). Total score can range from 6 to 60 and is calculated by summing the 6 component scores.

improvements were observed across all PROMIS domains [Table 5]. MOxFQ domains of Social Interaction, Walking/Standing, and Pain improved over baseline and continued to improve through the 12- and 24- month visit [Table 6]. The median (min, max) incision length was 3.5 cm (3.0, 4.0). A clinically meaningful assessment of the cosmetic appearance of the scar was observed in both the observer and patient POSAS scores through 12- and 24- months [Table 7, Figs. 3-4].

One (1.0 %) patient in the overall treated cohort of 105 required reoperation for removal of hardware due to pain. Eleven other patients (10.5 %) experienced clinical complications that did not require surgical intervention, with pain being the most reported event (n=4, 3.8 %). No patient experienced symptomatic nonunion. Of the 75 patients with baseline and 12-month follow-up metatarsalgia data, only two patients (2.7 %) reported metatarsalgia at 12 months. None (0 %) of the 28 patients who reported baseline metatarsalgia continued to report metatarsalgia at 12 months postoperatively. Of the 47 patients who reported no metatarsalgia at baseline, only 2 (4.3 %) developed metatarsalgia at 12 months.

Discussion

This analysis of the prospective, multicenter study demonstrates favorable results of 1st TMT arthrodesis through a mini-open incision (median incision length of 3.5cm) with an early return to protected weightbearing, low radiographic recurrence, high union rates, low complication rates, and improvement in patient-reported outcomes at 12- and 24- months follow-up. When assessing the primary study endpoint, the low rate of radiographic recurrence maintained post-operatively through 12 and 24 months suggests a beneficial role of tri-planar correction, including frontal plane rotation and TSP alignment, in achieving long-term correction of HV. In contrast, a recent systematic review of 2D distal osteotomy studies with five or more years follow-up, found pooled recurrence rates of 64 % and 10 % using the same HVA thresholds of 15° and 20°, respectively [10]. While the current study is only at 12 and 24 months follow up, our reported findings suggest the positive association between metatarsal rotational and sesamoid alignment in restoration of coronal plane anatomy of the MTP joint and maintenance of HV correction.

Reduction in foot width is another important consideration in hallux valgus correction assessment [20]. The current study demonstrated an osseus foot width reduction of approximately 7.7 % and 9.5 % at 12 and 24 months, respectively, as well as a decrease in forefoot circumference. Previously published distal osteotomy studies have shown an increase in midfoot width and a limited reduction in forefoot width, with reported osseous reduction of 5 % and soft tissue reduction of 2 % [19,20].

The sagittal component of the HV deformity is important and changes in sagittal alignment can impact MTP range of motion, first ray loading, and transfer metatarsalgia to the lesser metatarsals. In the current study there was a small increase (mean 0.9°) in the sagittal intermetatarsal angle relative to baseline, indicating a slightly dorsiflexed 1st ray position. While the long-term clinical significance of the current findings is not yet known, only 2 of the 75 patients (2.7 %) reported metatarsalgia at 12 months despite approximately 35 % of

Fig. 4. - Representative preoperative (left) and 12month postoperative (right) incision/scar assessments.

patients reporting metatarsalgia preoperatively and no lesser metatarsal osteotomies (ex. Weil osteotomy) were performed. The slight dorsiflexed position of the first ray in the current study is in contrast to a recently published study of a similar instrumented 1st TMT system that was performed through an open incision approach, which demonstrated slight plantarflexion postoperatively [7]. It is hypothesized that the mini-open approach and positioner clamp utilized in the current study over the skin of the 1st metatarsal may have impacted the ability to control the sagittal position of the first ray.

The current study also demonstrated significant improvements over baseline in patient-reported outcomes (PROs), including pain on VAS and all MOxFQ domains through 12- and 24- months follow-up. This improvement in PROs is consistent with the improvements observed in the prospective, multicenter study of the open instrumented 1st TMT arthrodesis approach [12]. Further, the patients in the current study, with a median mini-open incision length of 3.5cm, also provided a favorable assessment of the cosmetic appearance of their scar utilizing the POSAS scale. Taken together, these findings indicate that positive PROs and scar cosmetic assessment can be reliably achieved with a smaller 3.5cm incision using the instrumented 1st TMT arthrodesis mini-open approach.

We recognize several limitations in this study. This is a single arm study without a control or comparison group. Radiographic measurements have known amounts of error in both radiographic technique and assessment of measurements. We attempted to control these radiographic variables by providing standardized image acquisition training and technique manuals to each site, as well as using an independent musculoskeletal radiologist to perform the measurements. Further, hallux valgus deformities were selected based on specific inclusion and exclusion criteria to try to help control the impact of confounding variables on the study results.

In conclusion, this prospective, multicenter study of an instrumented system for 1st TMT correction of HV deformities through a mini-open incision demonstrated statistically significant and favorable improvements in radiographic correction, low deformity recurrence, early return to activity with low complication rates, and improvements in patient-reported outcomes.

Disclosures

Authors are consultants and receive research funding from Treace Medical Concepts, Inc.

References

[1] Nix S, Smith M, Vicenzino B. Prevalence of hallux valgus in the general population: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Foot Ankle Res 2010;3(21).
[2] Jr Scranton PE, R Rutkowski. Anatomic variations in the first ray: part I. Anatomic aspects related to bunion surgery. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1980;151:244–55.
[3] Okuda R, Kinoshita M, Yasuda T, Jotoku T, Kitano N, Shima H. Postoperative incomplete reduction of the sesamoids as a risk factor for recurrence of hallux valgus. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2009;91:1637–45.
[4] Mortier J-P, Bernard J-L, Maestro M. Axial rotation of the first metatarsal head in a normal population and hallux valgus patients. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res 2012; 98:677–83.
[5] Dayton P, Feilmeier M. Comparison of tibial sesamoid position on anteroposterior and axial radiographs before and after triplane tarsal metatarsal joint arthrodesis. J Foot Ankle Surg 2017;56:1041–6.
[6] Kim Y, Kim JS, Young KW, Naraghi R, Cho HK. Lee SY A new measure of tibial sesamoid position in Hallux Valgus in relation to the coronal rotation of the first metatarsal in CT scans. Foot Ankle Int 2015;36:944–52.
[7] Pentikainen I, Ojala R, Ohtonen P, Piippo J, Leppilahti J. Preoperative radiological factors correlated to long-term recurrence of hallux valgus following distal chevron osteotomy. Foot Ankle Int 2014;35:1262–7.
[8] Bock P, Kluger R, Kristen KH, Mittlböck M, Schuh R, Trnka HJ. The scarf osteotomy with minimally invasive lateral release for treatment of Hallux Valgus deformity: intermediate and long-term results. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2015;97:1238–45.
[9] Jeuken RM, Schotanus MGM, Kort NP, Deenik A, Jong B. Hendrickx RPM long-term follow-up of a randomized controlled trial comparing scarf to Chevron osteotomy in Hallux Valgus correction. Foot Ankle Int 2016;37:687–95.
[10] Lalevee M, de Cesar Netto C, ReSurg Boublil D, Coillard J-Y. Recurrence rates with longer-term follow-up after Hallux Valgus surgical treatment with distal metatarsal osteotomies: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Foot Ankle Int 2023.
[11] Ray JJ, Koay J, Dayton PD, Hatch DJ, Smith B. Santrock RD Multicenter early radiographic outcomes of triplanar tarsometatarsal arthrodesis with early weightbearing. Foot Ankle Int 2019;40:955–60.
[12] Liu GT, Chhabra A, Dayton MJ, et al. One- and two-year analysis of a five-year prospective multicenter study assessing radiographic and patient-reported outcomes following triplanar first tarsometatarsal arthrodesis with early weightbearing for symptomatic Hallux Valgus. J Foot Ankle Surg 2022;61: 1308–16.
[13] Mikhail CM, Markowitz J, Di Lenarda L, Guzman J, Vulcano E. Clinical and radiographic outcomes of percutaneous Chevron-Akin osteotomies for the correction of Hallux Valgus deformity. Foot Ankle Int 2022;43(1):32–41. Jan.
[14] Frigg A, Zaugg S, Maquieira G, Pellegrino A. Stiffness and range of motion after minimally invasive Chevron-Akin and open scarf-Akin procedures. Foot Ankle Int 2019;40(5):515–25. May.
[15] Lai MC, Rikhraj IS, Woo YL, et al. Clinical and radiological outcomes comparing percutaneous Chevron-Akin osteotomies vs open scarf-Akin osteotomies for hallux valgus. Foot Ankle Int 2018;39(3):311–7.
[16] de Carvalho KAM, Baptista AD, de Cesar Netto C, Johnson AH, Dalmau-Pastor M. Foot Ankle Int 2022;43:1317–30. Lewis TL, et al. J Bone Joint Surg Am 103: 1203–11, 2021.
[17] Smith WB, Dayton P, Santrock RD, Hatch DJ. Understanding frontal plane correction in Hallux Valgus repair. Clin Podiatr Med Surg 2018;35:27–36.
[18] Usuelli F, Palmucci M, Montrasio UA, Malerba F. Foot Ankle Int 2011;32:782–8.
[19] El Masry S, DiGiovanni GM, Boden AL, et al. Changes in foot width in minimally invasive bunionectomy. Foot Ankle Int 2024;45(8):807–11.
[20] Tenenbaum SA, Herman A, Bruck N, Bariteau JT, Thein R, Coifman O. Foot Ankle Int 2018;39:1272–7.